# GYN ONCOLOGY ROTATION INFORMATION



University of Florida
College of Medicine - Jacksonville
3rd Year Medical Student Ob-Gyn Clerkship
Division of Gynecologic Oncology
Authors: Karl Smith, MD
John Martino, MS3

# What is Gynecologic Oncology (Gyn-Onc)?

Gynecologic Oncology is a subspecialty of Ob-Gyn. The other two Ob-Gyn subspecialties are Maternal Fetal Medicine (High risk Obstetrics, MFM) and Reproductive Medicine (Endocrine and Infertility). Certification in Gyn-Onc requires training and board certification in Ob-Gyn and then 2-4 years of fellowship training in Gyn-Onc. Gynecology Oncologists are involved in the diagnosis, staging, treatment and follow-up of women with cancer of the female reproductive tract. Gynecology Oncologists manage new cancers, recurrences, complications, and end of life care. Unlike other oncology disciplines, Gyn-Onc deals with all treatment modalities in a specialized area rather one modality for the entire body such as Medical Oncology and Radiation Oncology. Gynecology Oncologists are versed in surgery, radiation therapy, and chemotherapy. Most Gynecology Oncologists do not manage breast cancer.

### What we expect in a week on the Gynecologic Oncology Service:

At the end of this week you should know what diseases are managed by Gyn-Onc and have some familiarity with gynecology cancer diagnosis, staging, and treatment. Regardless of what area of medicine you eventually go into, we want you to know who to call if you encounter a patient with a suspected gynecology cancer problem. We do not expect you to become an expert in gynecology cancer, but as a result of your experience, we do expect you to become a better physician.

### Attendings and Residents on the service:

Division Director: Karl Smith, MD

Gynecology Oncologist and Ob-Gyn Department Chairman: Guy Benrubi, MD

Gyn-Onc Chief Resident: PGY4
Gyn-Onc 2<sup>nd</sup> Year Resident: PGY2

# Key places at Shands Jacksonville:

- □ 3 South Nursing Unit where most Gyn-Onc patients will be located
- ☐ ACC 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Clinic location
  - Gyn-Onc clinic meetings are on Thursday 8:30 a.m. until finished (usually about 12:30 p.m.)
  - See patients with a 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> year resident and present to attending
- Operating Rooms
  - Main OR Main hospital building, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor; Tuesday& Friday are Main OR days for Oncology
  - Outpatient Surgery Center (OSC) LRC Building (Faculty Clinic, 1st Floor)

### **Learning Objectives:**

# Remember that all electronics were preceded by paper notes!!!

You are still learning. These are the important aspects of these evaluations and documentation.

### Pre-op Note

If previous H&P more than 30 days old, need to do full H&P

S: major complaint inciting need for surgery

O: vitals

Brief exam including general, heart, lungs, abd (with scars), ext Hgb, and any other labs or imaging related to perioperative morbidity

A/P: 31yo G3P3 with symptomatic pelvic organ prolapse for TVH with A & P repair.

Risks, benefits, alternatives discussed. Consents signed.

Plan for ovaries.

# Op Note

Pre-Op Dx:

Post-Op Dx:

Procedure:

Attending:

Residents:

Anesthesia:

IVF:

UOP:

EBL:

Findings:

Specimen:

Complications:

Drains:

Disposition:

# Post-Op Note

S: ?pain control, ?voiding, ?ambulating, ? flatus, ?diet

O: vitals

Brief physical including general, heart, lungs, abd (bowel sounds, tenderness), incision (undo dressing POD#1 and leave it undone until resident has seen it), ext (focus on signs of DVT, document SCDs)

Urine output and post op hgb

A/P: 31yo G3P2 POD#1 s/p TAH/BSO LOA for fibroids

- 1. post op -> doing well, d/c foley, ambulate, incentive spirometry, d/c PCA, advance diet
- 2. htn -> will restart po meds
- 3. anemia -> asx, will transfuse if necessary

Common Gynecologic Cancers –
<ul> <li>Cervical</li> <li>Be able to recognize normal from abnormal cervix</li> <li>Understand importance of HPV</li> <li>Evaluation of abnormal Pap smears</li> <li>Diagnosis and treatment of cervical dysplasia (cervical intraepithelial neoplasia</li> <li>Diagnosis, staging and treatment of invasive cervical cancer</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Endometrial</li> <li>Who is at risk?</li> <li>Evaluation of postmenopausal uterine bleeding</li> <li>Management of endometrial hyperplasia</li> <li>Diagnosis, staging and treatment of endometrial cancer</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Ovarian</li> <li>Know basic types (epithelial, stromal and germ cell)</li> <li>Who is at risk?</li> <li>Understand evaluation process for pelvic masses</li> <li>Diagnosis, staging and treatment of epithelial ovarian cancer</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Vulvar</li> <li>Be able to recognize normal from abnormal appearing vulva</li> <li>Recognize the vulvar inflammation from neoplasia</li> <li>Recognize need for vulvar biopsy</li> <li>Diagnosis and treatment of vulvar dysplasia</li> <li>Diagnosis, staging and treatment of vulvar squamous cancer</li> </ul>
Less Common Gynecologic Cancers –
□ Vaginal □ Fallopian tube
☐ Trophoblastic Disease - Gestational - Non-Gestational
Other Cancers of Special interest to Gyn-Onc -
□ Breast
□ Colon

1 reatm	ent iviodaiities –
	Surgery
	Radiation therapy
	- External beam (teletherapy)
	- Intracavitary (brachytherapy)
	Chemotherapy
	- Cytotoxic
	<ul> <li>Hormone therapy</li> </ul>
	- Immunotherapy
Special	Issues –
	Learn pelvic anatomy
	Symptom management
	- Pain
	<ul> <li>Nausea and vomiting</li> </ul>
	- Chronic fatigue
	- Clinical depression
	- Diminished activity
	Associated medical problems
	- COPD
	- Heart disease
	- Hypertension
	- Diahetes Mellitus

Obesity HIV Others

Lack of funding
Lack of housing
Lack of transportation
Non-Compliance

☐ Social issues

### 1. AccessMedicine by McGraw-Hill -

http://www.library.health.ufl.edu/, http://www.uflib.ufl.edu/ufproxy.html
This website has dozens of McGraw-Hill's textbooks available online for free through the University of Florida Health Sciences Library website. The second link above is to the Off-Campus Proxy access, which can be utilized through the Gatorlink username and password (what you sign into UF Webmail with). Once on the Health Sciences Library website, the "Databases" list can be accessed to view the numerous databases available through UF. This is where access to PubMed, Clinical Pharmacology, UpToDate (which is not On Campus Only), and MD Consult can be found. In the scroll bar on the bottom half of the screen, click on AccessMedicine, which is the fourth database listed. This takes you to the AccessMedicine homepage. There are multiple tabs at the top of the page that allow access to textbooks from many disciplines, pathology images, procedure videos, case files, explanations of different diagnostic tests, and even Board Review material.

# 2. New England Journal of Medicine, Procedure Videos -

http://content.nejm.org/

The NEJM has approximately 20 excellent basic procedure videos available that are central to medical care. The videos can be accessed by scrolling down to the "NEJM Audio and Video" box on the right hand side of the screen. Clicking on "More Procedure Videos" will enable access to the downloadable videos. The NEJM website has many other excellent resources available for free.

# 3. American College of Surgeons, Division of Education -

http://elearning.facs.org/login/index.php/

This is an excellent site for medical students and first year residents to learn basic surgical skills such as knot tying and use of basic surgical instruments. It has nice videos that are divided into three different phases depending on your skill and knowledge level. It requires a free registration. On the homepage, click on "Create new account" on the right half of the screen. Once registered, click on the phase you wish to review, and then proceed through the videos listed.

# 4. National Comprehensive Cancer Network -

http://www.NCCN.org/

This is an excellent online resource for clinical practice guidelines for many different types of cancer. It presents information on cancers in an easy to follow format that includes clinical presentation, treatment options (surgical and medical), recurrence probabilities, staging information, and discussions. It requires a free registration. On the NCCN homepage, the Clinical Practice Guidelines can be accessed by clicking on "NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology" under the "Clinical Recommendations" column on the bottom half of the page. The documents can be downloaded and/or printed from the website.

### 5. Atlas of Pelvic Surgery -

http://www.altasofpelvicsurgery.com/

This is Cliff Wheelis's gynecologic surgery book online. It has excellent diagrams and step-by-step approaches to numerous surgeries. All students on their Gynecology or Gynecology-Onc rotation should review these procedures prior to seeing them in the OR.

### 6. ASCCP Colposcopy Course -

ASCCP Colposcopy Course.doc

This is a course prepared by the American Society for Colposcopy and Cervical Pathology that provides a quick guide to cervical anatomy, histology, and pathology. It also provides a guide to colposcopy, which should be reviewed before seeing patients in the Colposcopy Clinic.

# 7. Understanding Risks of Ovarian Cancer Pamphlet -

http://www.wcn.org/downloads/Understanding Risk of Ovarian Cancer.pdf/

Understanding Risk of Ovarian Cancer.pdf

This pamphlet is an excellent guide to identifying the risk of hereditary gynecologic cancers. It is provided by the Gynecologic Cancer Foundation.

"Smith Notes" for Gyn Oncology

### **Ovarian Cancer**

Types: Epithelial (most common), Stromal and Germ Cell

Diagnosis of epithelial cancer (serous, endometrioid, mucinous, undifferentiated; fallopian tube and primary peritoneal cancer)

- 1. Positive symptoms and exam: prolonged bloating, urinary frequency, indigestion, early satiety, abdominal swelling, constipation, pelvic mass, ascites.
- 2. Imaging: pelvic mass, ascites, omental thickening.

### Treatment:

- 1. Laparotomy, TAH-BSO, omentectomy, lymph node excision, peritoneal biopsies, tumor debulking including bowel resection and colostomy if indicated. (Remember bowel prep)
- 2. Consider USO and staging for younger patients who wish to preserve fertility.
- 3. Post-op treatment
  - a. IV chemotherapy (taxane and platin agent, e.g. paclitaxel/carboplatin)
  - b. IV/IP chemotherapy (paclitaxel IV, cisplatin IP/paclicataxil IP)\*\*
  - c. No definite role for long term chemotherapy or 2<sup>nd</sup> look laparotomoy

OR

4. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (paclitaxel/platin agent) 3-4 cycles at 3 week intervals.

Follow-up: exams every 3-4 months for 2 years then q6 months until 5 years from diagnosis, then yearly. (see Table 3 Surveillance for Gynecologic Cancer, Sabani, Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2011)

In patients with complete response to initial surgery and chemotherapy recurrence occurs in 50% within 2-3 years of initial diagnosis.

### **Endometrial Cancer**

# Diagnosis:

- 1. Postmenopausal bleeding
- 2. Abnormal image (thickened endometrial stripe, irregular endometrial cavity or fluid in cavity on CT or MRI
- 3. Positive endometrial biopsy
- 4. Thickened endometrium with irregular contour by hysteroscopy
- 5. Positive endometrial curettings on D&C
- 6. Consider abdominal and pelvic CT with oral and IV contrast

# Treatment: Surgery:

- 1. TAH-BSO, pelvic and para-aortic lymph node dissection (open through vertical incision, laparoscopic or robotic, peritoneal biopsies and omentectomy for serous or clear cell cancers)
- 2. Radical hysterectomy, BSO, LND for Stage II (cervical involvement) OR
- 3. Pre-op RT followed in 6 weeks by TAH-BSO

# Post Op treatment: (follow NCCN guidelines)

- 1. Radiation therapy if positive lymph nodes or previously unrecognized spread to cervix.
- 2. Chemotherapy with or without RT if peritoneal, omental or intestinal spread of disease.
- 3. Chemotherapy for special tumor types (serous, clear cell, or malignant mixed mesodermal tumor)
- 4. Special consideration for endometrial stomal leiomyosarcomas

# Follow-up:

Exam q6 month for 2 years then q6 months until 5 years after diagnosis (see Table 2, Surveillance for Gynecologic Cancer, Sabani, Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2011)

Most common site of recurrence is vagina followed by lung, abdomen, and retroperitoneal lymph nodes. Get CT only if symptoms or physical findings.

### **Cervical Cancer**

# Diagnosis:

- 1. Biopsy if visible cervical mass
- 2. Cervical cone if suspicious Pap or cervical colposcopy
- 3. Pelvic exam under anesthesia (EUA) often includes cytoscopy and proctoscopy. Radiation oncologist present.
- 4. PET/CT base of skull to mid thigh to look for metastasis

### Treatment:

- 1. Micro-invasive cancer up to 3 mm simple hysterectomy, diagnosis cannot be made by simple biopsy, must be made by cone.
- 2. Cervical mass confined to cervix ≤ 4 cm radical hysterectomy with pelvic and para-aortic lymph node dissection. Ovaries may be preserved.
- 3. Cervical mass ≥ 4 cm or spread of disease outside cervix radiation therapy.
  - a. External pelvic RT with weekly cisplatin chemotherapy (40 mg/M<sup>2</sup>)
  - b. Intra-cavitary RT x 2 (T&O or Syed)
- 4. Ureteral stent or nephrostomy if ureteral obstruction

- 1. Use vaginal dilator weekly if patient received RT
- Exam q6 month x 2 years then q6 months until 5 years after diagnosis. (see Table 5 Surveillance for Gynecologic Cancer, Sabani, Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2011)
- 3. Recurrence occurs within 2-3 years in most cases where there is recurrence.

### **Vulvar Cancer**

# Diagnosis:

- 1. Usually made visual inspection and biopsy.
- 2. Biopsy may be performed in office setting or OR
- 3. Assessment requires careful palpation of groin lymph nodes, size of tumor, and location with regard to urethra, vagina and anus.
- 4. Imaging is individualized; consider chest, abdomen and pelvic CT if groin nodes are enlarged or fixed. PET/CT from base of skull to mid-thigh may be an option. Chest x-ray if CT or PET are not performed.

### Treatment:

- 1. Surgery is the primary treatment for vulvar cancer.
  - a. Excise lesion with 5 mm margin if invasion not suspected
  - b. Radical partial vulvectomy with at least 1 cm margin in invasive cancer is suspected. Use two orthree incision technique for vulva and groin incisions.
    - 1) Perform ipslateral lymph node dissection if lesion does not cross the midline.
    - 2) Perform bilateral lymph node dissection if ipsilaternal nodes are involved on frozen section or if lesion crosses midline.
  - c. Postop pelvic RT if lymph nodes are involved.
- 2. Consider chemoradiation for lesions for invasive cancers involving urethra or anus.

- 1. Physical exam including care pelvic exam to look for local recurrence q 6 months for 2 years, then yearly (see Table 5 in Surveillance for gynecologic Cancers by Sabani, Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2011).
- 2. Consider imaging studies such as CT only with onset of symptoms or a new physical finding that suggests recurrent cancer.

# **Vaginal Cancer**

# Diagnosis:

- 1. Usually presents as mass in vagina
- 2. Requires biopsy for diagnosis
- 3. PET/CT for metastatic evaluation
- 4. Consider EUA

## Treatment:

- 1. Usually RT (EPRT with weekly cisplat and brachytherapy (IVRT)
- 2. Consider transvaginal excision of non-invasive cancer

- 1. Exam q6 months with Pap x 2 years then yearly (see Table 5 in Surveillance for gynecologic Cancers by Sabani, Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2011).
- 2. CT of abdomen and pelvis with oral and IV contrast if symptom or sign of recurrence.

# GTD

# Diagnosis:

- 1. Molar pregnancy
  - a. Abnormal sonogram
  - b. Endometrial suction curettage
  - c. Serial quantitative serum hCG levels
  - d. Chest x-ray if hCG rises
- 2. After prior IUP (term, SAB, or ectopic)
  - a. Serial quantitative serum hCG, consider hCG-H
  - b. Chest, abdomen, and pelvic CT if rising hCG
- 3. Determine WHO score

### Treatment:

- 1. Single agent methotrexate or actinomycin D for post molar and low risk disease
- 2. Multiple agents (EMA-CO) for recurrent or high risk disease
- 3. Give at least one treatment after negative hCG

- 1. Oral contraceptive agent or Depo Provera for at least 6 months
- 2. Monthly serum hCG
- 3. Get early pelvic sonogram if pregnancy suspect after previous treatment for GTD

# **Gyn Oncology Staging**

# **Endometrial Cancer** (FIGO 2009)

Stage I: Tumor confined to the corpus uteri

IA\*: no or less than ½ myometrial invasion

IB\*: invasion equal to or more than half of the myometrium

**Stage II**<sup>#</sup>: Tumor invades the cervical stroma, but does not extend beyond the uterus.

Stage III: Local and/or regional spread of the tumor

IIIA: Tumor invades the serosa of the corpus uteri and/or adnexae

IIIB: Vaginal and/or parametrial involvement

IIIC: Metastases to pelvic and/or para-aortic lymph nodes

IIIC1: Positive pelvic lymph nodes

IIIC2: Positive para-aortic lymph nodes with or without Positive pelvic lymph nodes.

**Stage IV**: Tumor invades bladder and/or bowel mucosa, and/or distant metastases.

IVA: Tumor invasion of bladder and/or bowel mucosa

IVB: Distant metastases, including intra-abdominal metastases and/or inguinal lymph nodes.

\* Any grade (G1, G2, G3); Serous and Clear cell are always grade 3

# Endocervical glandular involvement only should be considered as Stage I and no longer as Stage II.

Positive cytology should be reported separately without changing stage Adverse risk factor to be consider in treatment (see NCCN guidelines):

age >60 years

positive lymphvascular space invasion

Lower uterine segment (cervical/glandular)involvement.

# **Uterine Sarcoma\* (FIGO 2009)**

Stage I Tumor limited to uterus

Stage IA: Tumor 5 cm or less in greatest dimension

Stage IB: Tumor greater than 5 cm

Stage II Tumor extends beyond the uterus, but within the pelvis

Stage IIA: Tumor invades adnexa

Stage IIB: Tumor invades other pelvic tissues

Stage III Tumor infiltrates abdominal tissues

(not just protruding into abdominal cavity)

Stage IIIA: One site

Stage IIIB: More than one site

Stage IIIC: Regional lymph node metastasis

Stage IV Distant spread of cancer

IVA: Tumor invades bladder or rectum

IVB: Distant metastasis (lung, liver, brain, para-aortic LN, etc.)

Notes:

1. Carcinosarcoma should be staged as carcinomas of endometrium

2. Simultaneous tumors of the uterine corpus and ovary/pelvis in association with ovarian/pelvic endometriosis should be classified as

independent primary tumors.

3. \*Types of uterine sarcoma: leiomyosarcoma, undifferentiated

sarcoma, and endometrial stromal sarcoma

# **Invasive Cervical Cancer (FIGO 2009)**

**Stage I** cancer confined to cervix

Stage IA invasion diagnosed by microscope only

Stage IA1 invasion not greater than 3.0 mm in depth or 7 mm in width

Stage IA2 invasion > 3 mm but < 5 mm and less than 7 mm in width

Stage IB invasion > 5 mm in depth or > 7mm in width or clinically visible Lesion.

Stage IB1 lesion ≤ 4.0 cm

Stage IB2 lesion > 4.0 cm

Stage II Invasion beyond cervix but not to pelvic side wall or lower 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of vagina

Stage IIA1 Tumor extending to upper vagina without parametrial extension Visible tumor ≤ 4 cm in greatest dimension

Stage IIA2 Tumor extending to upper vagina without parametrial extension Visible tumor > 4 cm

Stage IIB Tumor extending to parametrium, any size

**Stage III** Tumor extends to pelvic sidewall and/or involves lower 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of vagina and/or causes hydronephrosis or nonfunctioning kidney.

Stage IIIA Tumor extends to lower 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of vagina but without extension to pelvic sidewall

Stage IIIB Tumor extends to pelvic sidewall and/or causes hydronephrosis or nonfunctioning kidney.

**Stage IV** Tumor extends beyond uterus to mucosa or bladder or rectum and/or true pelvis

(Bullus edema of bladder is not sufficient to qualify as Stage IV)

# **Ovarian Cancer and Primary Peritoneal Cancer (FIGO 2009)**

- **Stage I** Tumor limited to ovaries (one or both)
  - Stage IA Tumor limited to one ovary; capsule intact, no tumor on ovarian Surface. No malignant cell in ascites or peritoneal wahings.
  - Stage IB Tumor limited to both ovaries; capsule intact, no tumor on ovarian Surface.
  - Stage IC Tumor limited to one or both ovaries with any of the following: Capsule ruptured, tumor on ovarian surface, malignant cell in ascites or peritoneal wahings.
- Stage II Tumor involves one or both ovaries with pelvic extension
  - Stage IIA Tumor involves uterus and/or fallopian tube(s).

    No malignant cell in ascites or peritoneal wahings
  - Stage IIB Tumor extension or implants on othr pelvic tissues

    No malignant cell in ascites or peritoneal wahings
  - Stage IIC Tumor extension or implants involving uterus, fallopian tubes or other pelvic tissues with malignant cell in ascites or peritoneal wahings
- **Stage III** Tumor involves one or both ovaries with microscopically confirmed peritoneal metastasis outside the pelvis.
  - Stage IIIA Microscopic peritoneal metastasis beyond pelvic (no macroscopic tumor)
  - Stage IIIB Macroscopic peritoneal metastasis beyond pelvis 2 cm or less in greatest dimension.
  - Stage IIIC Macroscopic peritoneal metastasis beyond pelvis greater than 2 cm or less in greatest dimension and/or regional lymph node metastasis.
- **Stage IV** Distant metastasis (e.g. malignant pleural effusion, liver parenchymal mets, invasion of mucosa of bladder or rectum, but not peritoneal metastasis.)

# **Vulvar Cancer** (FIGO 2009)

**Stage I** Tumor confined to the vulva or perineum

IA: Lesion  $\leq 2$  cm in size and confined to vulva or perineum; Stromal invasion is  $\leq 1$  mm\*. No nodal metastasis

IB: Lesions > 2 cm in size or with stromal invasion ≥ 1 mm confined to vulva or perineum. No nodal metastasis

**Stage II** Tumor of any size with extension to adjacent perineal structures (lower 1/3 of urethra, lower 1/3 of vagina or anus) and no nodal metastasis.

**Stage III** Tumor of any size with or without extension to adjacent perineal structures (lower 1/3 of urethra, lower 1/3 of vagina or anus) with positive inguino-femoral lymph nodes.

IIIA

- (i) with I lymph node metastasis (≥5 mm), or
- (ii) 1-2 lymph node metastasis(es) (<5 mm)

IIIB

- (i) with 2 or more lymph node metastases (≥5 mm)
- (ii) 3 or more lymph node metastases (<5 mm)

IIIC with positive node with extracapsular spread

**Stage IV** Tumor invades other regional sites (upper 2/3 urethra, upper 2/3 vagina or distant structures.

IVA: Tumor invades any of the following:

- (i) upper urethral and/or vaginal mucosa, bladder mucosa, rectal mucosa, or fixed to pelvic bone, or
- (ii) fixed or ulcerated inguino-femoral lymph nodes.

IVB: any distant metastasis including pelvic lymph nodes.

# **Vaginal Cancer**

(clinical staging)

Stage	Description
0	Carcinoma in situ (VaIN 3) — non invasive
I.	Invasive cancer limited to vaginal wall
11.	Involvement of subvaginal tissue but without extension to pelvic sidewall
III.	Extension to pelvic sidewall
IVA.	Extension to beyond true pelvis or involvement of bladder or rectal mucosa. Bullous edemadoes not permit a case to be assigned to stage IV
IVB.	Spread to adjacent organs and/or direct extension beyond the true Pelvis; Spread to distant organs

(Hoskins, Principles and Practice of Gynecologic Oncology, 4<sup>th</sup> edition, 2005)

# Gestational Trophoblastic Disease (FIGO ANATOMIC STAGING)

**Stage I** Disease confined to uterus

Stage II GTN extends outside uterus, but is limited to the genital structures

Stage III GTN extends to the lungs, with or without known genital tract

involvement

Stage IV All other metastatic siites

(GTN = Gestational trophoblastic neoplasia)

# WHO/FIGO Staging (2000)

Risk Factor	0	1	2	4
Age (y)	<39	>39		
Antecedent preg	H. Mole	abortion	Term preg	
Time interval (m)	<4	4 - 6	7 - 12	>12
HCG (serum, mIU/ml)	<10 <sup>3</sup>	$10^3 - 10^4$	$10^4 - 10^5$	>10 <sup>5</sup>
No. Mets	0	1-4	4 - 8	>8
Site of Mets	Lungs Vagina	spleen kidney	GI tract	Brain Liver
Largest tumor (cm)	3-5	>5		
Prior chemotherap	ру		single drug	2 or more drugs

To determine total score, add individual scores.

Rescore after each change in chemotherapy.

4 = low risk; 5-7 = medium risk; 8 or > = high risk

TABLE 1. Summary of Recommendations

POPULATION	PAGE NUMBER	RECOMMENDED SCREENING METHOD <sup>a</sup>	MANAGEMENT OF SCREEN RESULTS	COMMENTS
Aged < 21 y	153	No screening		HPV testing should not be used for screening or management of ASC-US in this age group
Aged 21-29 y	154-155	Cytology alone every 3 y	HPV-positive ASC-US <sup>b</sup> or cytology of LSIL or more severe: Refer to ASCCP guidelines <sup>2</sup>	HPV testing should not be used for screening in this age group
			Cytology negative or HPV-negative ASC-US <sup>b</sup> : Rescreen with cytology in 3 y	
Aged 30-65 y	155-162	HPV and cytology "cotesting" every	HPV-positive ASC-US or cytology of LSIL or more severe: Refer to ASCCP guidelines <sup>2</sup>	Screening by HPV testing alone is not recommended for most clinical settings
		5 y (preterred)	HPV positive, cytology negative: Option 1: 12-mo follow-up with cotesting Option 2: Test for HPV16 or HPV16/18 genotypes • If HPV16 or HPV16/18 positive: refer to colposcopy • If HPV16 or HPV16/18 negative: 12-mo follow-up with cotesting	
			Cotest negative or HPV-negative ASC-US: Rescreen with cotesting in 5 y	
		Cytology alone every 3 y (acceptable)	HPV-positive ASC-US <sup>b</sup> or cytology of LSIL or more severe: Refer to ASCCP guidelines <sup>2</sup>	
			Cytology negative or HPV-negative ASC-US <sup>b</sup> ; Rescreen with cytology in 3 y	
Aged > 65 y	162-163	No screening following adequate negative prior screening		Women with a history of CIN2 or a more severe diagnosis should continue routine screening for at least 20 y
After hysterectomy	163-164	No screening		Applies to women without a cervix and without a history of CIN2 or a more severe diagnosis in the past 20 y or cervical cancer ever

### Criteria for Genetic Risk Assessment

Patients with greater than an approximate 20-25% chance of having an inherited predisposition to breast cancer and ovarian cancer and for whom genetic risk assessment is recommended:

- Women with a personal history of both breast cancer and ovarian cancer\*
- Women with ovarian cancer\* and a close relative† with ovarian cancer or premenopausal breast cancer or both
- Women with ovarian cancer\* who are of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry
- Women with breast cancer at age 50 years or younger and a close relative† with ovarian cancer\* or male breast cancer at any age
- Women of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry in whom breast cancer was diagnosed at age 40 years or younger
- Women with a close relative† with a known BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation

Patients with greater than an approximate 5-10% chance of having an inherited predisposition to breast cancer and ovarian cancer and for whom genetic risk assessment may be helpful:

- Women with breast cancer at age 40 years or younger
- Women with ovarian cancer, primary peritoneal cancer, or fallopian tube cancer of high grade, serous histology at any age
- Women with bilateral breast cancer (particularly if the first case of breast cancer was diagnosed at age 50 years or younger
- Women with breast cancer at age 50 years or younger and a close relative<sup>†</sup> with breast cancer at age 50 years or younger
- Women of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry with breast cancer at age 50 years or younger
- Women with breast cancer at any age and two or more close relatives† with breast cancer at any age (particularly if at least one case of breast cancer was diagnosed at age 50 years or younger)
- Unaffected women with a close relative that meets one of the previous criteria
- \*Cancer of the peritoneum and fallopian tubes should be considered a part of the spectrum of the hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome.
- †Close relative is defined as a first-degree relative (mother, sister, daughter) or second-degree relative (grandmother, granddaughter, aunt, niece).

# FIGURE

# Checklist for surveillance of gynecologic malignancies

Visit date	e
Disease site	and stage
Date of diag	and stage
Date treatm	gnosis/surgeryent completed
Date death	ent completed
Symptoms r	review and treatment side-effects
	Pain (abdominal or pelvic, hip or back)
	•Abdominal bloating
	•Vaginal bleeding (also rectum, bladder)
	•Weight loss
	Nausea and/or vomiting
	<ul> <li>Cough or shortness of breath</li> </ul>
	•Lethargy/fatigue
	•Swelling of abdomen or leg(s)
	•Depression
	•Sexual dysfunction
	Neuropathy
DL 1	•Fatigue
Physical exa	
	•General physical examination targeted to symptoms
	•Lymph node assessment (axillary, supraclavicular, and inguinal)
	•Pelvic examination (evaluation of lower genital tract, speculum, bimanual, rectovaginal examination)
Laboratory	omianual, rectovaginal examination)
Laboratory	•Tumor markers
Disease statu	
Disouso state	•No evidence of disease
	•Suspect recurrence
	••Radiographic imaging
	••Biopsy ••Refer to gynecologic oncologist
Routine healt	th maintenance
Breas	t cancer screening
	Yearly clinical breast examination
	•Mammogram
	Every 1-2 years starting with ages 40-49 years, then yearly
Color	n cancer screening
	•Colonoscopy or flexible sigmoidoscopy
	Every 5-10 years beginning at age 50 years
_	
Genet	tic screening
	•Not indicated
	•Recommended/completed
	Consider if patient is diagnosed at a young age, strong family history multiple primaries (see specific surveillance guidelines)
3.4	
	pausal assessment
Osteo	porosis prevention
	Calcium (1200-1500 mg) and vitamin D (800 IU)
	Bone mineral density testing
	Begin at age 65 years (sooner if high risk factors)
Smoking cess	ation
Weight maint	enance (exercise, diet)
_	ynecologic cancers. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2011.
	VIII LIIUVII LIIII PIN ATII LA IIINPLAMATOL ALLI

### ONCOLOGY

# Posttreatment surveillance and diagnosis of recurrence in women with gynecologic malignancies: Society of Gynecologic Oncologists recommendations

Ritu Salani, MD, MBA; Floor J. Backes, MD; Michael Fung Kee Fung, MB, BS; Christine H. Holschneider, MD; Lynn P. Parker, MD; Robert E. Bristow, MD, MBA; Barbara A. Goff, MD

Although gynecologic cancers account for only 10% of all new cancer cases in women, these cancers account for 20% of all female cancer survivors. Improvements in cancer care have resulted in almost 10 million cancer survivors, and this number is expected to grow. Therefore, determining the most cost-effective clinical surveillance for detection of recurrence is critical. Unfortunately, there has been a paucity of research in what are the most cost-effective strategies for surveillance once patients have achieved a complete response. Currently, most recommendations are based on retrospective studies and expert opinion. Taking a thorough history, performing a thorough examination, and educating cancer survivors about concerning symptoms is the most effective method for the detection of most gynecologic cancer recurrences. There is very little evidence that routine cytologic procedures or imaging improves the ability to detect gynecologic cancer recurrence at a stage that will impact cure or response rates to salvage therapy. This article will review the most recent data on surveillance for gynecologic cancer recurrence in women who have had a complete response to primary cancer therapy.

Key words: cervical cancer, cytology, endometrial cancer, gynecologic cancer, imaging, ovarian cancer, surveillance

In 2010, gynecologic malignancies were expected to afflict approximately 80,000 women within the United States. Advances within the field of gynecologic oncology have resulted in long-term survivals and a high rate of survivors. Because long-term survival is becoming more common in this patient population, insights into cancer surveillance and detection of recurrence and addressing side-effects from treatment are of utmost importance.

Currently, posttreatment guidelines call for frequent visits immediately after treatment, followed by increasing intervals over time. Typically, after the first 2-3 years, patients are transitioned back to their primary care providers. However, primary care physicians may not be comfortable with guidelines or surveillance for each specific cancer type.<sup>2</sup> This is in part due to a lack of training and in part to unclear expectations for the primary care provider by the oncologist.<sup>2-4</sup>

From The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH (Drs Salani and Backes); the University of Ottawa, Ontario, Canada (Dr Fung Kee Fung); the David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA (Dr Holschneider); the University of Louisville Louisville, KY (Dr Parker); the University of California Irvine Medical Center Orange, CA (Dr Bristow); and the

Received Feb. 19, 2011; accepted March 8, 2011.

Reprints: Ritu Salani, MD, MBA, The Ohio State University, 320 W 10th Ave., M210 Starling Loving, Columbus, OH 43210. ritu.salani@osumc.edu.

Authorship and contribution to the article is limited to the 7 authors indicated. There was no outside funding or technical assistance with the production of this article.

0002-9378/\$36.00 • @ 2011 Mosby, Inc. All rights reserved. • doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2011.03.008

As survivorship continues to grow, coordination of care between gynecologic oncologists, primary care providers, other healthcare providers (such as radiation oncologists), and patients ideally will allow for compliance with cancer follow-up care and routine health maintenance. The provision of a clear understanding of recommendations and responsibilities of appropriate surveillance will reduce unnecessary tests and ultimately result in cost savings.

The role of surveillance is to provide clinical and cost-effective practices that detect recurrence and impact survival outcomes. Acceptance of surveillance should be considered if there is utility of treatment for recurrence and decreased morbidity from both monitoring for disease recurrence and treatment. One should also consider the costs and the use of resources for conducting these tests. Last, patients should be counseled on the benefits and pitfalls of disease monitoring, which should include the psychologic impact of surveillance programs.<sup>5</sup> Unfortunately, most studies across all cancer sites are based predominantly on retrospective studies and provide limited insight into the true benefit of recommended guidelines for posttreatment surveillance. There is a real need for prospective studies to establish the most cost-effective methods for the detection of recurrent disease. In addition, surveillance tests should be directed at detecting recurrences that are amenable to curative or significant palliative treatment. Therefore, the primary objective of this review is to provide the most recent data on surveillance for cancer recurrence in women who have had a complete response to primary cancer therapy for gynecologic malignancies. Additionally, we have included routine health screen-

University of Washington School of Medicine Seattle, WA (Dr Goff).

ing guidelines to allow for enhanced communication between oncologists and primary care providers.

### **Endometrial cancer**

Endometrial cancer is the most common gynecologic cancer and the fourth most common cancer in women. Yearly, there are approximately 44,000 new endometrial cancer diagnoses and 8000 deaths in the United States.1 Commonly, patients experience symptoms such as abnormal or postmenopausal bleeding, which warrant further investigation with ultrasound scanning and/or endometrial sampling. The combination of symptoms and diagnostic testing results in 83% of patients being diagnosed in the early stages of the disease.<sup>6</sup> As a result of localized disease, 5-year survival rates exceed 95% for stage I and approach 83% overall. However, recurrence rates for patients with early-stage disease range from 2-15% and reach as high as 50% in advanced stages or in patients with aggressive histologic condition. 7-10 Many local recurrences from endometrial cancer are curable; therefore, the determination of the ideal time interval and diagnostic tools for surveillance of recurrent endometrial cancer that can impact survival outcomes is critical.

Typically, surveillance guidelines are more intensive the first few years after diagnosis because many studies have shown that most (70-100%) recurrences occur within 3 years after primary treatment. 11-14 Current guidelines of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) and the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommend physical examination every 3-6 months for 2 years, then every 6 months or annually. 15,16 Further evaluation with vaginal cytologic evidence is recommended every 6 months for 2 years and annually thereafter.16 To date, there are no prospective studies that have evaluated the role of surveillance in endometrial cancer follow-up evaluation. Based on recommended guidelines and institutional practices, retrospective research and literature reviews comprise the best evidence that is available.

The most consistently used method for surveillance is the physical examina-

tion. This alone accounts for a high rate of detection that ranges from 35-68% of cases. 11,13,17-19 Even more striking is that the combination of physical examination and symptoms has resulted in rates of detection that exceed 80%. 18,19 In a recent literature review, Sartori et al<sup>20</sup> report that only physical examination has shown utility in the detection of endometrial cancer recurrence. Therefore, physical examination, which includes a thorough speculum, pelvic, and rectovaginal examination, should be conducted during each follow-up assessment.

The role of surveillance is based on the concept that detection of recurrences in the asymptomatic stage results in better therapeutic options and outcomes. Interestingly, even in spite of intensive surveillance, many recurrences are detected based on the presence of symptoms, which occurs in 41-83% of patients. 11-13,18,19,21-24 A common symptom, vaginal bleeding, is indicative of a local recurrence that is often curable if it is an isolated site of disease. 13,18,19 However, other common symptoms include abdominal and/or pelvic pain, lethargy, and weight loss. 13,25,26 Even in the face of monitoring for recurrence, patients who experience a distant recurrence are symptomatic in 70% of cases, such as coughing or headaches. 13,21 Therefore, patient education about the signs and symptoms is a critical component of posttreatment care and may lead to the detection of recurrent disease.

Survival outcomes have been evaluated on the basis of the presence or absence of symptoms at the time of recurrence. In a report by Sartori et al, 11 52% of patients were diagnosed with recurrence after they had symptoms; these patients had a median postrecurrence survival of 7 months. This was significantly less than the 20-month survival that patients experienced if they were diagnosed with recurrence in an asymptomatic state that was based on examination or imaging. Several other series have evaluated the role of routine surveillance for the follow up evaluation of patients with stage I endometrial cancer and reported no difference in survival based on the presence or absence of symptoms. 13,21-23,26,27 Of note, even pa-

tients who had symptoms were undergoing the recommended follow-up evaluations, which provided an argument against the use of routine surveillance. Although all of these studies were retrospective, they reiterate the importance of prospective trials to determine the true role and regimen for surveillance.

Because most recurrences occur at the vaginal cuff, the use of cytologic evaluation has been advocated. However, many gynecologic oncologists challenge this recommendation. Rates of recurrence detection on vaginal cytologic evidence range from 0-6.8%, even in asymptomatic patients. 11,17-25,28 Although Berchuck et al<sup>19</sup> and Owen and Duncan<sup>28</sup> report that cytologic evaluation detected 25% of all recurrences and that cytologic evaluation alone detected only 3 of the 44 (7%) recurrences. Furthermore, in addition to a low yield of detection, Agboola et al13 reported that the use of vaginal cytologic evaluation at each visit resulted in a cost of \$27,000 per case detected. Because most recurrences at the vaginal cuff can be found on examination, vaginal cytologic evaluation adds only significant healthcare costs without added benefit.

Similarly to ovarian cancer, the use of cancer antigen 125 (CA125) level has been investigated as a marker for recurrence. In asymptomatic patients with endometrial cancer, the use of CA125 levels accounted for 15% of detections. 12 Rose et al<sup>29</sup> reported that CA125 levels were elevated in more than one-half of the patients with advanced stage and/or highgrade histologic evidence and that of these patients most had an elevated pretreatment level. However, one must be aware of elevated CA125 levels because of other conditions or even previous radiotherapy. In addition, the role of CA125 levels for the detection of recurrence was negligible in patients with lowrisk disease. 26,29 At present, the use of CA125 levels should not be used routinely in patients with endometrial cancer but may be appropriate in select patients with advanced disease, serous histologic condition, or a CA125 level that is elevated before treatment.

The use of radiographic imaging has been suggested for the detection of re-

TABLE 1 Sensitivity/detection rate of the methods that were used to detect recurrence in patients at routine visits after treatment

	Type of cancer, %				
Method of detection	Endometrial	Ovarian	Cervical		
Symptoms	41-83	_	46-95		
Physical examination	35-68	15-78	29-75		
Cytologic evidence	0-7		0-17		
Chest radiograph	0-20	<del></del>	20-47		
Cancer antigen 125 level	15	62-74	—		
Computed tomography scan	0-20	40-93	0-45ª		
Positron emission test-computed tomography scan	100 <sup>a</sup>	45-100	86		
<sup>a</sup> Limited data.	***************************************		***************************************		
Salani. Surveillance for gynecologic cancers. Am J Obstet Gynecol 20	011.				

current disease. Because of low costs, chest radiographs have been advocated for the detection of asymptomatic recurrences, often on a semiannual or annual basis. The rate of detection for asymptomatic chest recurrences that are found on chest radiographs ranges from 0-20%. 14,19 In another series, chest radiograph detected 7 asymptomatic pulmonary recurrences and accounted for 0.34% of all chest radiographs that were performed for surveillance, which indicates low utility for this tool.<sup>13</sup> Although reports of isolated pulmonary recurrences, albeit rare, may be amenable to therapies that allow for long-term survival outcomes, the routine use of chest radiographs is not recommended.<sup>25,30</sup>

In further evaluation of radiographic imaging for endometrial cancer surveillance, Fung Kee Fung et al<sup>14</sup> conducted a review of the literature and found that only 5-21% of asymptomatic recurrences were found by computed tomography (CT) scans. Other studies have agreed that the role of CT scanning for asymptomatic patients is not warranted, because survival of patients with disease that is detected on CT scan, compared with clinical examination, did not differ significantly.<sup>25,27</sup> To increase the detection of local recurrence, the use of pelvic ultrasound scans has also been reported. Although detection rates for local recurrence range from 4-31%, many of these recurrences were also detected on other diagnostic methods, which included

physical examination. 11,14,21,25,26 Therefore, the use of routine pelvic ultrasound and CT scanning is not advocated; however, these modalities may play a role in the evaluation of patients with symptoms, because the rates of detection approach 50% of cases.27

More recently, attention has been focused on positron emission test (PET) ± CT scans for endometrial cancer recurrence. Park et al<sup>31</sup> reported 100% sensitivity and 83% specificity when PET-CT scanning was used for suspected recurrence and 100% diagnostic accuracy in 64 asymptomatic patients. However, its use for routine screening has not been well studied, and larger prospective studies will determine whether PET/CT will have a role in endometrial cancer surveillance. In addition, the high cost of PET/CT may limit its use in routine surveillance (Table 1).

In conclusion, most patients with endometrial cancer will be a low risk for recurrence, and more than one-half of all recurrences will be detected through symptoms alone. With the exception of local disease, recurrent endometrial cancer is associated with a poor prognosis, regardless of the time of detection. On the basis of the data, we recommend a surveillance regimen to include a thorough history and physical examination, which would include a speculum and pelvic examination, at scheduled intervals with further testing indicated to evaluate symptoms and abnormalities

that are detected on examination. This approach may save valuable healthcare dollars. Cytologic evaluation and chest radiographs in asymptomatic women are not clearly beneficial. If patients do have a suspected recurrence, generally a CT scan of chest, abdomen, and pelvis or PET/CT scans may be performed to assess the extent of the disease (Table 2).32,33

### Ovarian cancer

Ovarian cancer affects almost 22,000 women each year in the United States and results in >13,000 deaths yearly.1 Although responsible for <30% of all gynecologic malignancies, ovarian cancer accounts for >50% of deaths. These results stem from a lack of accurate screening tools and symptoms that are vague and often not specific, which result in approximately 75% of patients being diagnosed with advanced disease.6 Since the 1970s, the median overall survival of patients with advanced ovarian cancer has increased from 20 months up to 65 months because of advances in surgery and chemotherapy.34,35

Despite the achievement of a complete clinical response, recurrence rates remain high, occurring in 25% of patients with early-stage disease and >80% of patients with advanced disease. 35,36 Although patients with recurrent ovarian cancer rarely are cured, patients can have significant responses to salvage treatments.

To detect recurrences, the NCCN guidelines for epithelial ovarian cancer, fallopian tube cancer, and primary peritoneal cancer recommend follow-up visits every 2-4 months for the first 2 years, followed by 6-month intervals for the next 3 years. At each visit, physical examination and identification of the CA125 level or corresponding tumor marker are recommended.37 Additionally, these guidelines advocate the use of radiographic imaging and laboratory testing, as clinically indicated. However, the impact of surveillance and guidelines are based predominantly on retrospective studies and expert opinions.

Because 26-50% of recurrences occur within the pelvis, a thorough physical examination is an important part of a patient's follow-up care and should include a bimanual pelvic and rectovaginal ex-

	Months			Years	
Variable	0-12	12-24	24-36	3-5	>5
Review of symptoms and physical examination					
Low risk (stage IA grade 1 or 2)	Every 6 mo	Yearly	Yearly <sup>a</sup>	Yearly <sup>a</sup>	Yearly <sup>a</sup>
Intermediate risk (stage IB-II)	Every 3 mo	Every 6 mo	Every 6 mo <sup>b</sup>	Every 6 mo <sup>b</sup>	Yearly <sup>a</sup>
High risk (stage III/IV, serous or clear cell)	Every 3 mo	Every 3 mo	Every 6 mo	Every 6 mo	Yearly <sup>a</sup>
Papanicolaou test/cytologic evidence	Not indicated				
Cancer antigen 125	Insufficient data to support routine use				
Radiographic imaging (chest x-ray, positron emission tomography/ computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging)	Insufficient data to support routine use	Insufficient data to support routine use			
Recurrence suspected	Computed tomography and/or positron emission tomography scan ± cancer antigen 125				

amination.36,38 However, the rates of detection by physical examination vary significantly from 15-78%.39,40 Although physical examination is one of the most commonly used tools and is associated with low cost, the reproducibility is low and may not detect other common sites of disease recurrence, such as the retroperitoneal lymph nodes, upper abdominal organs, or lungs. 41,42 Thus, in a patient with symptoms or tests that are concerning for recurrence, physical examination alone may not be sufficient.

Historically, second-look surgeries have been used to assess disease response to primary treatment. Despite negative findings, recurrence rates that range from 35-50% have been reported, and no benefit in overall survival was noted. Thus, this procedure fell out of favor and is used rarely today. 42,43

Since its discovery in 1981, the use of CA125 level for tumor recurrence has been evaluated extensively. Approximately 80% of epithelial tumors will have an elevated CA125 level at the time of diagnosis. Studies have shown that CA125 level correlates with disease status in most cases and is often elevated 2-5

months before clinical detection of relapse.<sup>38</sup> Generally, the sensitivity and specificity for CA125 level and disease recurrence ranges from 62-94% and 91–100%, respectively. 41,42,44,45 In 255 patients who had completed primary therapy, a CA125 level twice the upper limits of normal was consistent with disease progression in almost all patients who were evaluated.46 Santillan et al46 reported that CA125 levels with a persistently low level of increase, even within normal values of the test, were often consistent with tumor recurrence. However, other reports found that the detection of recurrent disease by CA125 level alone yielded no prognostic benefit and advocate the use of CA125 level for surveillance only after a discussion that would explain the interpretation of the test.<sup>47</sup> Furthermore, in a recently completed prospective randomized trial, the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer assessed the outcome of 527 patients who were treated for recurrent ovarian cancer based on CA125 level alone vs clinically evident recurrence. The overall survival outcome did not differ for either group, and

the investigators concluded that routine measurement of CA125 level is not warranted for disease surveillance.<sup>48</sup>

To improve early detection of recurrent disease, the role of radiographic imaging modalities has been investigated. In a retrospective analysis, surveillance with CT scans every 6 months for the first 2 years, followed by yearly intervals, demonstrated the ability to detect asymptomatic disease. The authors reported a higher rate of optimal secondary cytoreductive surgery and an improved overall survival in the group with recurrence detected asymptomatically, compared with the symptomatic recurrence.49 Other studies that have evaluated methods of surveillance for ovarian cancer have reported the sensitivity of CT scans to be 40-93% and the specificity to be 50-98% for recurrent disease. On the contrary, in a study of 412 patients, the use of surveillance techniques detected recurrence in 80% of patients with the following evaluations: examination (15%), imaging (27%), CA125 level (23%), and CA125 level and imaging in (35%). However, the authors did not find a difference in survival, regardless of

	Months			Years	
Variable	0-12	12-24	24-36	3-5	>5
Review of symptoms and physical examination	Every 3 mo	Every 3 mo	Every 4-6 mo	Every 6 mo	Yearly <sup>a</sup>
Papanicolaou test/ cytologic evidence	Not indicated				
Cancer antigen 125	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional
Radiographic imaging (chest x-ray, positron emission tomography/ computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging)	Insufficient data to support routine use	Insufficient data to support routine use	Insufficient data to support routine use	Insufficient data to support routine use	Insufficient data to support routine use
Recurrence suspected	Computed tomography and/or positron emission tomography scan				
······································	Cancer antigen 125				

the modality that was used.50 Ideally, prospective studies will help to determine the true role of interval CT scans in ovarian cancer surveillance.

Because CT scans may lack the ability to detect a small volume of disease, other imaging modalities have been reviewed. The use of magnetic resonance imaging has also been evaluated for its role in ovarian cancer surveillance. Although sensitivity ranges from 62-91% and specificity ranges from 40-100%, comparable detection rates to CT scans and increased costs have limited its generalized acceptance.41 Ultrasound scanning has also been investigated for ovarian cancer surveillance. Studies have shown sensitivity that ranged from 45-85% and specificity that ranged from 60-100%.41 However, because of user variability and limited visibility, this modality typically is not used for the evaluation of recurrent disease.

More recently, the use of PET-CT scans has been reported. Sensitivity varies from 45-100% and specificity ranges from 40-100%, although diagnostic accuracy rates approach as high as 95%.41,42,51 In patients with normal CA125 levels and clinical suspicion of disease (based on symptoms or surveillance CT scans), PET-CT was slightly more sensitive than CT scans for the detection of recurrent disease.<sup>52</sup> Studies have shown that PET-CT will alter treatment in approximately 60% of patients with recurrent disease and many recommend PET-CT before secondary cytoreduction.53 However, the potential use of this modality for surveillance is limited, and currently the role of radiographic imaging is best reserved as a supplement to abnormalities in physical examination, CA125 levels, or symptoms.

Although improvements in primary treatment of ovarian cancer have occurred, outcomes after recurrence remain disappointing. Many physicians hypothesize that the detection of recurrence early potentially may improve the benefit of available treatments, especially surgery. Second-line therapies are rarely curative and often result in short-term progression-free survival. However, some patients, especially those who are good candidates for secondary surgical cytoreduction and/or those who remain platinum sensitive will have high response rates to salvage treatments. Until the ideal surveillance is determined, individualized patient plans that consist of a thorough assessment of symptoms and physical examination,

which includes a pelvic examination, should be undertaken. The role for CA125 level monitoring should be discussed with patients. The pros and cons of imaging should be discussed with the patients who do not have an elevated CA125 level at the time of diagnosis. When a recurrence is suspected based on symptoms, examination, or CA125 level, a CT scan of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis should be obtained to determine the extent of the disease. PET scans are a useful adjunct when CT scans are indeterminate (Table 3).54

### Low malignant potential (LMP) tumors

Tumors of LMP, also called borderline tumors, account for 10-20% of epithelial ovarian tumors; approximately 4000 cases are diagnosed annually.55 The average age of a woman at the time of diagnosis is 40-60 years, but a significant proportion of these tumors occur in women in their child-bearing years. 55 In general, the prognosis for women with LMP tumors is quite good, and most women (especially those with stage I disease) are at a very low risk of recurrence.<sup>2,3</sup> Recurrences tend to occur late, and, even in advanced stages, 70% of recurrences will be after 5 years, and 30% will be after 10

years.<sup>3</sup> Many patients with recurrent LMP tumors can be salvaged with additional surgery, and <5% eventually progress to invasive cancers. 55-57

Current NCCN guidelines recommend physical examination, including pelvic examinations, CA125 level (if initially elevated), every 3-6 months and pelvic ultrasound scans for those women with fertility-sparing surgery. Complete hysterectomy with bilateral salpingooophorectomy is recommended once fertility is completed.<sup>37</sup> However, there are no studies that suggest that this aggressive surveillance improves prognosis for women with LMP tumors.

Retrospective studies suggest that, in women who have undergone a complete hysterectomy with bilateral salpingooophorectomy and resection of all gross disease, surveillance should be similar that used for those women with invasive ovarian cancer. For patients who have undergone fertility-sparing surgery, either a unilateral salpingo-oophorectomy or a cystectomy, the risk of recurrence ranges from 7-30%.58 Current surveillance recommendations for women who have undergone fertility-preserving surgery are to undergo serial pelvic sonography because this is the most sensitive method of detection of recurrent disease in residual ovary.<sup>59</sup> Ultrasound scanning with or without tumor markers is recommended on an every 6-month basis.

When recurrent disease is suspected, a CT scan of abdomen and pelvis is recommended to assess the extent of the disease. Because most women with LMP tumors can be salvaged with additional surgery, 56,57 prompt attention to symptoms or physical examination abnormalities is important; however, there is no evidence that routine radiographic surveillance with CT scans is at all beneficial.

### Germ cell and sex-cord stromal tumors of the ovary

Malignant germ cell tumors of the ovary are rare and account for 2.6% of all ovarian cancers.60 Most patients have abdominal pain and a palpable mass. Malignant germ cell tumors can produce serum tumor markers that can prove helpful in the diagnosis and posttreatment surveillance if they are elevated at

the time of diagnosis. Alpha-fetoprotein can be produced by yolk sac tumors, embryonal carcinomas, polyembryomas, and immature teratomas. Human chorionic gonadotropin can be produced by choriocarcinomas, embryonal carcinomas, polyembryomas, and, in low levels, in some dysgerminomas. Lactate dehydrogenase can be a marker for dysgerminoma. 61-63 Because these tumors tend to occur in young women and most are unilateral, fertility-sparing surgery has been used to include pelvic washings, unilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, peritoneal biopsies, omentectomy, and pelvic and paraaortic lymph node dissection. NCCN guidelines recommend observation for low-risk tumors such as stage I dysgerminomas and stage IA, grade 1 immature teratomas. 37,61-63 All other malignant ovarian germ cell tumors in this country receive postoperative chemotherapy with bleomycin, etoposide, and platinum with excellent survival rates. However, in Europe some healthcare providers advocate observation of all stage I germ cell tumors.

Sex cord stromal tumors are rare and account for 1.2% of ovarian cancers.60 Sex cord stromal tumors of the ovary can also produce serum tumor markers such as estradiol, inhibin, Müllerian inhibitory substance, and testosterone. 63,64 Granulosa cell tumors also have the possibility of late recurrence of disease, with a reported median time to recurrence of 4-6 years.<sup>62</sup> Pelvic recurrence accounts for 30-45% of cases. 64,65 Surveillance should include a thorough physical examination and serum tumor markers for an extended period of time because of reports of recurrence even 20 years after the initial diagnosis. The utility of imaging in sex-cord stromal tumors has not been proven, so imaging should be limited to patients with symptoms or concerning findings on physical examination.<sup>64,65</sup>

Studies that have evaluated surveillance strategies for ovarian germ cell tumors and sex cord stromal tumors have not been performed; therefore, recommendations are based on expert opinion. NCCN guidelines for surveillance recommend tumor markers every 2-4 months for 2 years if the markers were

elevated originally. Physical examination that includes bimanual examination may be less helpful than serum tumor markers, especially in adolescent patients. Although recurrences are rare and data about them in the gynecologic oncology literature are small in number, they typically occur in the first 2 years after treatment. Although prognosis for recurrent germ cell tumors is usually poor, there are potentially curative treatment options that are available with multiagent chemotherapy regimens and high-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell support. Recently, the American Society of Clinical Oncology issued guidelines for surveillance using serum tumor markers for men with testicular cancer.66 The recommendations were similar to the current NCCN guidelines for germ cell tumors of the ovary in the first 2 years, with the exception that the surveillance continues for 10 years after treatment because of a reported incidence of 50% of the recurrences occurring 5 years after treatment in men.66 The timing of surveillance imaging in ovarian germ cell tumors is less wellcharacterized. NCCN guidelines for germ cell testicular tumors recommend CT scans every 3-6 months for the first 2 years then every 6-12 months until 6 years after treatment for those who received chemotherapy alone.67 Because germ cell tumors of the ovary occur in young women, because serum tumor markers are very sensitive for the presence of disease, and because repeated CT scans can lead to significant radiation exposure over time, the argument could be made that imaging is not indicated without evidence of the elevation of serum tumor markers, clinical symptoms, or concerning findings on physical examination. In addition, in those patients without elevated tumor markers, radiologic assessment in the first 2 years can be helpful (Table 4).

### Cervical cancer

More than 12,000 women are diagnosed with cervical cancer each year in the United States.1 Patients are diagnosed with stage I disease in 50% of cases, and the 5-year survival rate for this group ex-

	Months			Years	
Variable	0-12	12-24	24-36	3-5	>5
Review of symptoms and physical examination					
Germ cell tumors	Every 2-4 mo	Every 2-4 mo	Yearly	Yearly	Yearly
Sex-cord stromal tumors	Every 2-4 mo	Every 2-4 mo	Every 6 mo	Every 6 mo	Every 6 mo
Serum tumor markers					***************************************
Germ cell tumors	Every 2-4 mo	Every 2-4 mo	Not indicated	Not indicated	Not indicated
Sex-cord stromal tumors	Every 2-4 mo	Every 2-4 mo	Every 6 mo	Every 6 mo	Every 6 mo
Radiographic imaging (chest x-ray, computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging)					
Germ cell tumors	Not indicated unless tumor marker normal at initial presentation	Not indicated unless tumor marker normal at initial presentation	Not indicated	Not indicated	Not indicated
Sex-cord stromal tumors	Insufficient data to support routine use	Insufficient data to support routine use	Insufficient data to support routine use	Insufficient data to support routine use	Insufficient data to support routine use
Recurrence suspected	Computed tomography scan	Computed tomography scan	Computed tomography scan	Computed tomography scan	Computed tomograph scan
	Tumor markers	Tumor markers	Tumor markers	Tumor markers	Tumor markers

ceeds 90%.6 However, recurrence rates for this group of patients are high, ranging from 10-20%.68 The treatment of recurrent cervical cancer depends greatly on the primary therapy that is used and the location of recurrence. Patients with locally recurrent disease can be offered salvage treatments with the potential for cure. Distant metastases are rarely salvageable. In efforts to detect disease at curable states, surveillance has been advocated in patients who have successfully completed primary treatment.

Typically, more than three-fourths of recurrences will occur within the first 2-3 years after the initial treatment, which suggests a role for increased surveillance during this time frame. 68-72 Thus, the NCCN guidelines recommend follow-up evaluation every 3-6 months for the first 2 years, followed by every 6 months for the next 3 years. These recommendations include cytologic evaluation at each visit and recommend annual chest radiographs, although optional.<sup>71</sup> Use of other imaging is advocated on the basis of clinical indications. Similarly to most cancer surveillance, these recommendations are based on retrospective studies.

Although patients are often observed every 3-4 months during the first 2 years, recurrence is diagnosed during routine follow-up examination in few cases, ranging from 26-36% of cases. 69,72 Despite surveillance, presentation with symptoms is common, ranging from 46-95% of patients. 73,74-81 These symptoms often include abdominal and pelvic pain, leg symptoms such as pain or lymphedema, vaginal bleeding or discharge, urinary symptoms, cough, and weight loss.<sup>68,73</sup> Additionally, the presence of symptoms or suspicion of recurrence prompted unscheduled evaluation in approximately 40% of patients. 77,78 Thus, counseling patients about signs and symptoms remains an important part of survivorship care.

The use of physical examination for cervical cancer surveillance has been well accepted. In a review, this simple method accounted for the highest rate of asymp-

tomatic disease, ranging from 29-75%. 11,68 Physical examination accounted for the highest detection rate when compared with cytologic evaluation and imaging modalities. 11,68,77 The evaluation should include a complete assessment of areas that are susceptible to the human papilloma virus and a thorough speculum, bimanual, and rectovaginal examination. Although there is insufficient evidence in cancer surveillance, cytologic evaluation may have value in the detection of other lower genital tract neoplasia. Along with symptoms, physical examination will detect most cases of recurrent cervical cancer. 76

In efforts to detect patients with a vaginal/local recurrence, surveillance with cytologic evaluation has been recommended.<sup>64,70-73</sup> Unfortunately, retrospective studies have shown cytologic evaluation to be consistently low yield. with detection rates that range from 0-17%.68 In addition, other studies have found that rarely was cytologic evidence the only abnormality and that clinical ev-

idence of disease was often or soon thereafter apparent. These low rates of detection have led to the recommendations by authors to eliminate the use of cytologic evaluation or to limit its use to once a year. 68,74,75 Furthermore, the role of cytologic evaluation in patients who have undergone pelvic radiation therapy may be limited, and the elimination of its use from routine surveillance may be acceptable. Thus, the reduction of unnecessary cytologic evaluation may provide an opportunity for significant cost-savings while maintaining quality of care in these patients.

Imaging has also been suggested for surveillance in the asymptomatic patient. In regards to chest radiographs, rates of detection range from 20-47%.68,69,74 Because of a higher distant failure rate, Salmal et al<sup>69</sup> advocated its use, particularly in patients who had received radiotherapy. However, because many of these cases are not salvageable, others have questioned its use. 75,76 Although some studies have reported successful treatments for patients with isolated pulmonary recurrence, there is little evidence to support its use at this time. 68,69,74 Other studies have evaluated the use of radiographic imaging modalities (such as CT scan and magnetic resonance imaging), pelvic ultrasound scans, and intravenous pyelograms. 11,69 Unfortunately, the rates of detection are low, and these tests have not proven useful for routine surveillance. However, these tests may be indicated based on patient symptoms or findings on examination, and their use should be individualized.

PET ± CT scans have also been used for the evaluation of recurrent cervical cancer. In patients with clinical suspicion of recurrence, PET scans detected disease with high sensitivity (86%) and specificity (87%).<sup>77</sup> More recently, its use as a surveillance tool has been studied with promising results. In this series, PET-CT showed locoregional disease in 8 of the 9 asymptomatic patients, compared with 4 of the 21 with symptoms that were being evaluated.<sup>78</sup> Because pelvic recurrences may be amenable to salvage therapy, with radiation or exenteration, this modality may have potential benefit; further investigations are ongoing.

One of the major components of surveillance is its ability to impact survival. Survival for women with recurrent cervical cancer has been assessed only in retrospective analyses, which compare those women with or without symptoms at the time recurrence is diagnosed. Median survival rates in asymptomatic and symptomatic patients ranged from 8-53 months and 8-38 months, respectively.<sup>68</sup> Several studies have reported improved median survival in patients who were detected with asymptomatic recurrence, regardless of the method of diagnosis, and advocate the need for surveillance programs. 69,74,77-80 Other reports have noted similar survival regardless of symptoms and have questioned the effectiveness of routine surveillance. 72,76

Surveillance should be focused on recurrent disease that is amenable to treatment and that will result in cure or longterm survival. Unfortunately, in regards to cervical cancer, this is limited predominantly to locoregional recurrence. The potential of newer modalities, which includes PET/CT scanning, must be investigated further in prospective studies, especially given the high cost. Although only retrospective data are available, history and physical examination are the only consistent methods that have been reported for the detection of recurrence; and specific follow-up plans should be discussed with patients. If recurrent disease is suspected based on symptoms or examination, a CT scan of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis is recommended to evaluate the extent of disease, and a biopsy should be obtained to confirm recurrence. PET/CT scanning usually is performed before definitive radiation or exenterative surgery to identify distant disease that would alter management (Table 5).81

### Vulvar cancer

With 3900 new cases and 920 deaths annually in the United States, vulvar cancer is uncommon and represents approximately 4% of malignancies of the female genital tract and 0.6% of all cancers in women.1 Radical local excision of the vulva and inguinofemoral lymphade-

nectomy has been the standard surgical therapy for nearly 8 decades. More recent advances have included the introduction of preoperative chemoradiation for large primary tumors that involve the urethra, vagina, or anus and the investigation of the sentinel lymph node technique. Survival of patients with vulvar cancer correlates with International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics stage. The prognosis for patients with early-stage disease is generally good. Lymph node status is the single most important prognostic factor. Patients with negative lymph nodes have a 5-year survival rate of >80%, which falls to <50% for patients with positive lymph nodes and to as low as 13% for those with  $\geq 4$ positive nodes.82 Although patients with local recurrences may be salvageable, groin or distant recurrences generally are fatal.

There is no direct evidence to inform surveillance strategies for patients with vulvar cancer after definitive treatment. There are no NCCN practice guidelines to address this issue. Thus, surveillance strategies for patients with definitively treated vulvar cancer are extrapolated from other disease sites, mainly cervix cancer. A report from the Mayo Clinic on 330 patients with primary squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva, >95% of whom underwent bilateral inguinofemoral lymphadenectomy, underscores the significant correlation between lymph node status and the risk of treatment failure in the first 2 years after initial therapy: 44.2% overall recurrence rate with positive vs 17.5% with negative lymph nodes. After 2 years, patients with positive and patients with negative nodes had similar recurrence rates. Importantly, more than one-third of relapses occurred  $\geq 5$  years after the initial therapy. In other words, nearly 1 in 10 patients had a late (>5 years) reoccurrence of disease (same site recurrence or second primary vulvar site), which demonstrates the need for long-term surveillance. More than 95% of those late relapses had local reoccurrences; 13% of the relapses also demonstrated evidence of distant disease.83 This pattern of predominantly local recurrence is confirmed by another study of 399 patients with node-negative

	Months			Years	
Variable	0-12	12-24	24-36	3-5	>5
Review of symptoms and physical examination					
Low risk (early stage, treated with surgery alone, no adjuvant therapy)	Every 6 mo	Every 6 mo	Yearly <sup>a</sup>	Yearly <sup>a</sup>	Yearly <sup>a</sup>
High risk (advanced stage, treated with primary chemotherapy/ radiation therapy or surgery plus adjuvant therapy)	Every 3 mo	Every 3 mo	Every 6 mo	Every 6 mo	Yearly <sup>a</sup>
Papanicolaou test/cytologic evidence	Yearly <sup>b</sup>	Yearly <sup>b</sup>	Yearly <sup>b</sup>	Yearly <sup>b</sup>	Yearly <sup>b</sup>
Routine radiographic imaging (chest x-ray, positron emission tomography/computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging)	Insufficient data to support routine use	Insufficient data to support routine use			
Recurrence suspected	Computed tomography and/or positron emission tomography scan	Computed tomography and/or positron emission tomography scan			

squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva, 23% of which recurred with >90% of the recurrences in the vulva.84

Because of the propensity for local recurrence (regular and long-term), careful examinations of the vulva and groin constitute the cornerstone of posttreatment surveillance for these patients. This should include careful visual inspection of the vulva, skin bridge, and inguinal lymph nodes. Because a significant number of vulvar cancers are human papillomavirus associated, such examination should survey not only for vulvar reoccurrence or multifocal vulvar cancer but also for cervical, vaginal, and perianal neoplasia. Whether asymptomatic patients with positive groin nodes benefit from additional imaging for the assessment of distant sites of failure is unproven and generally not recommended because salvage therapies are relative ineffective. Patients whose symptoms or review of systems suggests the possibility for distant failure should undergo additional imaging and may be evaluated

similarly as with patients with cervical cancer. If exenterative surgery is considered for local recurrence, PET-CT should be performed to rule out distant disease that would alter management (Table 5).84

### Vaginal cancer

Primary cancer of the vagina is an uncommon malignancy. With approximately 2300 cases diagnosed annually in the United States, vaginal cancer comprises approximately 3% of all malignant neoplasms of the female genital tract.1 Given the rarity of the disease, there is a paucity of information to guide posttreatment surveillance for patients with vaginal cancer. There are no data to support the routine use of follow-up vaginal cytologic evaluation or imaging in the asymptomatic patient. Posttreatment surveillance relies primarily on the careful assessment of symptoms and physical examination, which should survey not only for vaginal recurrence or multifocal vaginal cancer but also for cervical, vulvar, and perianal neoplasia. Patients with a suspicion of recurrent disease should undergo additional imaging for the evaluation of disease extent that may help guide treatment options (Table 5).85

### Comment

Although gynecologic cancers account for only 10% of all new cancer cases in women, the number of survivors from these malignancies approaches 20%. 1,86 Improvements in cancer care have resulted in almost 10 million cancer survivors, and this number is expected to grow at an even faster rate than ever before.86 Thus, the determination of the most clinically and cost-effective surveillance for the detection of recurrence is critical.

As survivorship increases, transitioning patients from oncology care to the primary care setting is becoming a common practice. However, this shift results in the burden of care falling on primary care providers who may not be comfortable or trained to deal with follow-up

needs or practice standards for patients with cancer. Although the Institute of Medicine's report advocates for open communication between oncologists and primary care providers, almost 50% of primary care physicians did not feel comfortable with cancer surveillance and standard guidelines for cancer recurrence.86 However, primary care providers generally are willing to assume cancer follow-up care, typically after 2 years from treatment. In a survey, primary care providers believed the transition of oncology patients could be improved with an individualized treatment summary, guidelines for surveillance, and expedited routes of rereferral for suspected recurrence.2-4,86-88 Thus, the provision of up-to-date information and the education of both patients and physicians are mandatory.

However, a recent evaluation of cancer survivorship care demonstrated a significant discordance among primary care providers, oncologists, and patients. This discrepancy was seen with primary cancer surveillance and with the recommendation of cancer screening and preventative healthcare management.3 Therefore, it is important not only to specify routine cancer surveillance but also to continue routine screening guidelines in cancer survivors and to promote healthy behaviors. As rates of the development of a second cancer approach 10% within 30 years,4 communication between providers and with patients will improve adherence to guidelines and reduce repetitive testing.<sup>3</sup> Despite its association with cancer and comorbidities. almost one-quarter of cancer survivors continue to use tobacco after the first year of diagnosis; rates, which exceed 37%, are highest in patients with a history of gynecologic malignancies.89 Thus, both oncologists and primary care providers should advocate for smoking cessation in these patients. In addition, the promotion of exercise and weight reduction (if indicated), of the monitoring of bone density, and of breast and colorectal screening is important.

If not previously done, the surveillance period may provide an opportunity to assess patients who are at a higher risk for cancer than the general popula-

Patient na	ne
Visit date	e and stage
	agnosis/surgery
	nent completed
Symptoms	review and treatment side-effects
J 1	•Pain (abdominal or pelvic, hip or back)
	•Abdominal bloating
	•Vaginal bleeding (also rectum, bladder)
	•Weight loss
	•Nausea and/or vomiting
	•Cough or shortness of breath
	•Lethargy/fatigue
	<ul> <li>Swelling of abdomen or leg(s)</li> </ul>
	•Depression
	•Sexual dysfunction
	Neuropathy
Dhamiaat an	•Fatigue
Physical ex	
	•General physical examination targeted to symptoms
	<ul> <li>Lymph node assessment (axillary, supraclavicular, and inguinal)</li> <li>Pelvic examination (evaluation of lower genital tract, speculum.</li> </ul>
	bimanual, rectovaginal examination)
Laboratory	,
	•Tumor markers
Disease sta	
	•No evidence of disease
	•Suspect recurrence
	••Radiographic imaging
	••Biopsy
	••Refer to gynecologic oncologist
Routine hea	alth maintenance
Brea	ast cancer screening
	Yearly clinical breast examination
	•Mammogram
	Every 1-2 years starting with ages 40-49 years, then yearly
Cole	on cancer screening
	•Colonoscopy or flexible sigmoidoscopy
	Every 5-10 years beginning at age 50 years
Gen	etic screening
	•Not indicated
	•Recommended/completed
	Consider if patient is diagnosed at a young age, strong family history,
	multiple primaries (see specific surveillance guidelines)
Mer	opausal assessment
Oste	eoporosis prevention
	Calcium (1200-1500 mg) and vitamin D (800 IU) Bone mineral density testing
	Begin at age 65 years (sooner if high risk factors)
	ssation

tion. Obtaining a thorough personal and family history, which would include cancer type and age at diagnosis, may help to identify patients who are at risk and result in a referral to genetic counseling for additional assessment. Furthermore, patients and family members with a known or suspected genetic predisposition may require a more intensive screening program. Improving one's awareness of risk will enhance compliance with these recommendations and ultimately decrease preventable cancers.90

The goal of follow-up evaluation for the detection of recurrent disease requires both clinical and cost-effectiveness. Failure to adhere to recommended guidelines results in unnecessary tests, and efforts should be made to provide effective surveillance, which will result in cost-savings.86-91 Currently, the ideal tests and schedule for gynecologic cancer surveillance have not yet been established; however, a detailed review of symptoms and physical examination at each visit results in the detection of most recurrences (Figure). The use of additional modalities has not been well-supported; and individualized treatment plans should be made with each patient. The lack of evidence-based guidelines for surveillance can be addressed only with prospective studies; the incorporation of cost-effective follow-up plans into the design of clinical trials will help to establish the ideal regimens.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The Society of Gynecologic Oncologists' (SGO) Clinical Practice Committee has developed a series of Clinical Documents that are designed in part to improve the overall quality of women's cancer care, to reduce the use of unnecessary, ineffective, or harmful interventions, and to facilitate the treatment of patients with a goal to maximum the chance of benefit with a minimum risk of harm and at an acceptable cost.

SGO Clinical Documents remain strictly confidential and are not to be disclosed or disseminated by any participant in the process before the Document's publication.

SGO Clinical Documents may have direct impact on the practice of treating women with gynecologic malignancies.

Clinical Documents are intended to be educational devices that provide information that may assist healthcare providers in caring for patients. This Clinical Document is not a rule and should not be construed as establishing a legal

standard of care or as encouraging, advocating, requiring, or discouraging any particular treatment. Clinical Documents are not intended to supplant the judgment of the healthcare provider with respect to particular patients or special clinical situations. Clinical decisions in any particular case involve a complex analysis of a patient's condition and available courses of action with the ultimate determination to be made by the healthcare provider in light of each individual patient's circumstances. Therefore, clinical considerations may lead a healthcare provider to take a course of action appropriately that varies from this Document.

This Clinical Document has met SGO's criteria of an Expert Clinical Opinion Document.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, Ward E. Cancer statistics, 2010. CA Can J Clin 2010;60:277-300. 2. Nissen MJ, Beran MS, Lee MW, et al. Views of primary care providers on follow up care of cancer patients. Fam Med 2007;39:477-82.
- 3. Cheung WY, Neville BA, Cameron DB, Cook EF, Earle CC. Comparison of patient and physician expectations for cancer survivorship care. J Clin Oncol 2009;17:2489-95.
- 4. Jacobs LA, Palmer SC, Schwartz LA, et al. Adult cancer survivorship: evolution, research, and planning care. CA Cancer J Clin 2009; 59:391-410.
- 5. Markman M. Follow-up of the asymptomatic patient with ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 1994:55:S134-7.
- 6. Altekruse SF, Krapcho M, Neyman N, et al. SEER cancer statistics review. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; 1975-2007.
- 7. Randall ME, Filliaci VL, Muss H, et al. Randomized phase III trial of whole abdominal irradiation versus doxorubicin and cisplatin chemotherapy in advanced endometrial carcinoma: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:36-44.
- 8. Greer BE, Goff BA, Koh WJ. Endometrial carcinoma. In: Johnson FR, Virgo KS, eds. Cancer patient follow-up. St. Louis: Mosby; 1997:357-
- 9. Keys HM, Roberts JA, Bruneto VL, et al. A phase III trial of surgery with or without adjunctive external pelvic radiation therapy in intermediate risk endometrial adenocarcinoma: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Gynecol Oncol 2004;
- 10. Creutzberg CL, van Putten WL, Koper PC, et al (PORTEC Study Group). Survival after relapse in patients with endometrial cancer: results from a randomized trial. Gynecol Oncol 2003:89:201-9.
- 11. Sartori E, Pasinett B, Carrara L, Gambino A, Odicino F, Pecorelli S. Pattern of failure and value of follow-up procedures in endometrial and cervical cancer patients. Gynecol Oncol 2007;107:S241-7.
- 12. Reddoch JM, Burke TW, Morris M, Tornos C, Levenback C, Gershenson DM. Surveillance for recurrent endometrial carcinoma: develop-

- ment of a follow-up scheme. Gynecol Oncol 1995:59:221-5.
- 13. Agboola OO, Grunfeld E, Coyle D, Perry GA. Costs and benefits of routine follow-up after curative treatment for endometrial cancer. Can Med Assoc 1997;157:879-86.
- 14. Fung Kee Fung M, Dodge J, Elit L, Lukka H. Chambers A, Oliver T. Follow-up after primary therapy for endometrial cancer: a systematic review. Gynecol Oncol 2006;101:520-9.
- 15. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG practice bulletin no. 65: clinical management guidelines for obstetriciangynecologists, August 2005: management of endometrial cancer. Obstet Gynecol 2005;
- 16. Teng N, Greer B, Kapp D, Kavanagh J, Koh WJ. NCCN practice guidelines for endometrial carcinoma. Oncology 1999;13:45.
- 17. Bristow RE, Purinton SC, Santillan A, Diaz-Montes TP, Gardner GJ, Giuntoli RL 2nd. Costeffectiveness of routine vaginal cytology for endometrial cancer surveillance. Gynecol Oncol 2006;103:709-13.
- 18. Tjalma WAA, Van Dam PA, Makar AP, Cruickshank DJ. The clinical value and the costeffectiveness of follow-up in endometrial cancer patients. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2004;14:931-7.
- 19. Berchuck A, Anspach C, Evans AC, et al. Postsurgical surveillance of patients with FIGO stage I/II endometrial adenocarcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 1995:59:20-4.
- 20. Sartori E, Pasinetti B, Chiudinelli F, et al. Surveillance procedures for patients treated for endometrial cancer: a review of the literature. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2010;20:985-92.
- 21. Gadducci A, Cosio S. Fanucchi A. Cristofani R, Genazzani AR. An intensive follow-up does not change survival of patients with clinical stage I endometrial cancer. Anticancer Res 2000;20:1977-84.
- 22. Shumsky AG, Stuart GC, Brasher PM, Nation JG, Robertson DI, Sangkarat S. An evaluation of routine follow-up of patients treatment for endometrial carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 1994;55:229-33.
- 23. Smith CJ, Heeren M, Nicklin JL, et al. Efficacy of routine follow-up in patients with recurrent uterine cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2007; 107:124-9.
- 24. Pastner B, Orr JW Jr, Mann WJ Jr. Use of serum CA125 measurement in post treatment surveillance of early-stage endometrial carcinoma. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1990;162:427-9. 25. Morice P, Levy-Piedbois C, Ajaj S, et al.
- Value and cost evaluation of routine follow-up for patients with clinical stage I/II endometrial cancer. Eur J Cancer 2001;37:985-90.
- 26. Sartori E, Lafare B, Gadducci A, et al. Factors influencing survival in endometrial cancer relapsing patients: a Cooperation Task Force (CTF) study. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2003;13: 458-65
- 27. Connor JP, Andrews JI, Anderson B, Buller RE. Computed tomography in endometrial carcinoma. Obstet Gynecol 2000;95:692-6.

- **28.** Owen P, Duncan ID. Is there any value in long term follow up of women treated for endometrial cancer? BJOG 1996;103:710-3.
- 29. Rose PG, Sommers RM, Reale FR, Hunter RE, Fournier L, Nelson BE. Serial serum CA 125 measurements for evaluation of recurrence in patients with endometrial carcinoma. Obstet Gynecol 1994;84:12-6.
- **30.** Dowdy SC, Mariani A, Bakkum JN, et al. Treatment of pulmonary recurrences in patients with endometrial cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2007; 107:242-7.
- **31.** Park JY, Kim EN, Kim DY, et al. Clinical impact of positron emission tomography or positron emission tomography/computed tomography in the posttherapy surveillance of endometrial carcinoma: evaluation of 88 patients. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2008;18:1332-8.
- **32.** Kitajima K, Murakami K, Yamasake E. Performance of FDG-PET/CT in the diagnosis of recurrent endometrial cancer. Ann Nucl Med 2008;22:103-9.
- **33.** Chung HH, Kang WJ, Kim JW, et al. The clinical impact of [(18)F]FDG PET/CT for the management of recurrent endometrial cancer: correlation with clinical and histological findings. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2008;36:1081-8.
- **34.** Harries M, Gore M. Part I: chemotherapy for epithelial ovarian cancer: treatment at first diagnosis. Lancet Oncol 2002;3:529-36.
- **35.** Armstrong DK, Bundy B, Wenzel L, et al. Intraperitoneal cisplatin and paclitaxel in ovarian cancer. N Engl J Med 2006;354:34-43.
- **36.** Trimbos JB, Parmar M, Vergote I, et al. International Collaborative Ovarian Neoplasm trial 1 and Adjuvant Chemo Therapy in Ovarian Neoplasm trial: two parallel randomized phase III trials of adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with early stage ovarian carcinoma. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003;95:105-12.
- **37.** Morgan RJ Jr, Alvarez RD, Armstrong DL, et al. The NCCN ovarian cancer clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Cancer Network 2008;6:766-94.
- **38.** Gadducci A, Cosio S, Zola P, Landoni F, Maggino T, Sartori E. Surveillance procedures for patients treated for epithelial ovarian cancer: a review of the literature. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2007;17:21-31.
- **39.** von Georgi R, Schubert K, Grant P, Munstedt K. Post-therapy surveillance and after-care in ovarian cancer. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2004;114:228-33.
- **40.** Fehm T, Heller F, Krämer S, Jäger W, Gebauer G. Evaluation of CA125, physical and radiological findings in follow-up of ovarian cancer patients. Anticancer Res 2005;25:1551-4.
- **41.** Gadducci A, Cosio S. Surveillance of patients after initial treatment of ovarian cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2009;71:43-52.
- **42.** Vaidya AP, Curtin JP. The follow-up of ovarian cancer. Semin Oncol 2003;30:401-12.
- **43.** Greer BE, Bundy BN, Ozols RF, et al. Implications of second-look laparotomy in the context of optimally resected stage II ovarian cancer: a non-randomized comparison using an

- explanatory analysis: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Gynecol Oncol 2005;99:71-9.
- **44.** Prat A, Parera M, Adamo B, et al. risk of recurrence during follow-up for optimally treated advanced epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) with a low-level increase of serum CA-125 levels. Ann Oncol 2009;20:294-7.
- **45.** Rustin GJS, Nelstrop AE, Tuxen MK, Lambert HE. Defining progression of ovarian carcinoma during follow-up according to CA 125: a North Thames Ovary Group Study. Ann Oncol 1996;7:361-4.
- **46.** Santillan A, Gary R, Zahurak ML, et al. Risk of epithelial ovarian cancer recurrence in patient with rising serum CA-125 levels within the normal range. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:9338-43.
- **47.** von Georgi, Hopkins ML, Coyle D, Le T, Fung Kee Fung M, Wells G. Cancer antigen 125 in ovarian cancer surveillance: a decision analysis model. Curr Oncol 2007;14:167-72.
- **48.** Rustin GJ, van der Burg ME; on behalf of MRC and EORTC collaborators. A randomized trial in ovarian cancer (OC) of early treatment of relapse based on CA125 level alone versus delayed treatment based on conventional clinical indicators (MRC OV05/EORTC 55955 trials). J Clin Oncol 2009;27:18s.
- **49.** Tanner EJ, Chi DS, Eisenhauer EL, Diaz-Montes TP, Santillan A, Bristow RE. Surveillance for recurrent ovarian cancer: survival impact or lead-time bias? Gynecol Oncol 2010; 117:336-40.
- **50.** Gadducci A, Fuso L, Cosio S, et al. Are surveillance procedures of clinical benefit for patients treated for ovarian cancer? A retrospective Italian multicentric study. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2009;19:367-74.
- **51.** Gu P, Pan L-L, Wu S-Q, Huang G. CA125, PET alone, PET-CT, CT and MRI in diagnosing recurrent ovarian carcinoma: a systematic review of meta-analysis. Eur J Rad 2009;71: 164-74.
- **52.** Bhosale P, Peungjesada S, Wei W, et al. Clinical utility of positron emission tomography/computer tomography in the evaluation of suspected recurrent ovarian cancer in the setting of normal CA-125 levels. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2010;20:936-44.
- 53. Fulham MJ, Carter J, Baldey A, Hicks RJ, Ramshaw JE, Gibson M. The impact of PET-CT in suspected recurrent ovarian cancer: a prospective multi-centre study as part of the Australian PET Data Collection Project. Gynecol Oncol 2009;112:462-8.
- **54.** Basu S, Rubello D. PET imaging in the management of tumors of testis and ovary: current thinking and future directions. Minerva Endocrinol 2008:33:229-56.
- **55.** Trimble CL, Trimble EL. Ovarian tumors of low malignant potential. Oncology (Williston Park) 2003;17:1563-7.
- **56.** Kane A, Uzan C, Rey A, et al. Prognostic factors in patients with ovarian serous low malignant potential (borderline) tumors with peritoneal implants. Oncologist 2009;14:591-600.
- 57. Silva EG, Gershenson DM, Malpica A, Deavers M. The recurrence and the overall sur-

- vival rates of ovarian serous borderline neoplasms with noninvasive implants is time dependent. Am J Surg Pathol 2006;30:1367-71.
- **58.** Boran N, Cil AP, Tulunay G, et al. Fertility and recurrence results of conservative surgery for borderline ovarian tumors. Gynecol Oncol 2005;97:845-51.
- **59.** Zanetta G, Rota S, Lissoni A, et al. Ultrasound, physical examination, and CA125 measurement for the detection of recurrence after conservative surgery for early borderline ovarian tumors. Gynecol Oncol 2001;81:63-6.
- **60.** Quirk JT, Natarajan N. Ovarian cancer incidence in the United States, 1992–1999. Gynecol Oncol 2005;97:519-23.
- **61.** Gershenson DM. Management of ovarian germ cell tumors. J Clin Oncol 2007;25: 2938-43.
- **62.** Bridgewater JA, Rustin GJS. Management of non-epithelial ovarian tumors. Oncology 1999;57:89-98.
- **63.** Koulouris CR, Penson RT. Ovarian stromal and germ cell tumors. Semin Oncol 2009; 36:126-36.
- **64.** Gilligan TM, Seidenfeld J, Basch EM, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guidelines on uses of serum tumor markers in adult males with germ cell tumors. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:3388-404.
- **65.** Motzer RJ, Agarwal N, Beard C, et al. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in Oncology: testicular cancer. J Natl Comp Canc Netw 2009;7:672-93.
- **66.** Schumer ST, Cannistra SA. Granulosa cell tumor of the ovary. J Clin Oncol 2003;21: 1180-9.
- **67.** Pectasides D, Pectasides E, Psyrri A. Granulosa cell tumor of the ovary. Cancer Treat Rev 2008;34:1-12.
- **68.** Elit L, Fyles AW, Oliver TK, Devrie-Aboud MC, Fung-Kee-Fung M. Follow-up for women after treatment for cervical cancer. Curr Oncol 2010:17:65-9.
- **69.** Samlal RAK, Van Der Velden J, Eerden TV, Schilthuis MS, Gonzalez D, Lammes FB. Recurrent cervical carcinoma after radical hysterectomy: an analysis of clinical aspects and prognosis. Int J Gynecol Cancer 1998;8:78-84.
- **70.** Larson DM, Copeland LJ, Stringer CA, Gershenson DM, Malone JM Jr, Edwards CL. Recurrent cervical carcinoma after radical hysterectomy. Gynecol Oncol 1988;30:381-7.
- 71. Greer BE, Abu-Rustin NR, Campos SM, et al. Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: cervical cancer. J Natl Compr Cancer Network 2010;8:1388-416.
- **72.** Duyn A, Elikeren MV, Kenter G, Zinderman K, Ansink A. Recurrent cervical cancer: detection and prognosis. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2008;81:759-63.
- 73. Soisson AP, Geszler G, Soper JT, et al. A comparison of symptomatology, physical examination, and vaginal cytology in the detection of recurrent cervical carcinoma after radical hysterectomy. Obstet Gynecol 1990;76:106-9.
  74. Bodurka-Bevers D, Morris M, Eifel PJ, et al. Posttherapy surveillance of women with cervi-

- cal cancer: an outcomes analysis. Gynecol Oncol 2000;78:187-93.
- 75. Zanagnolo V, Minig LA, Gadducci A, et al. Surveillance procedures for patients with cervical carcinoma: a review of the literature. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2009;19:306-13.
- 76. Morice P, Deyrolled C, Rey A, et al. Value of routine follow-up procedures for patients with stage I/II cervical cancer treated with combined surgery-radiation therapy. Ann Oncol 2004; 15:218-23.
- 77. Havrilesky LJ, Wong TZ, Secord AA, Berchuck A, Clarke-Pearson DL, Jones EL. The role of PET scanning in the detection of recurrent cervical cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2003;90:
- 78. Brooks RA, Rader JS, Dehdashti F, et al. Surveillance FDG-PET detection of asymptomatic recurrences in patients with cervical cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2009;112:104-9.
- 79. Ansink A, de Barros Lopes A, Naik R, Monaghan JM. Recurrent stage IB cervical carcinoma: evaluation of the effectiveness of routine follow-up surveillance. BJOG 1996;103: 1156-8.
- 80. Zola P, Fuso L, Mazzola S, et al. Could follow-up different modalities play a role in asymp-

- tomatic cervical cancer relapses diagnosis? An Italian multicenter retrospective analysis. Gynecol Oncol 2007;107:S150-4.
- 81. Husain A, Akhurst T, Larson S, Alekitar K, Barakat RR, Chi DS. A prospective study of the accuracy of 18Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (18FDG PET) in identifying sites of metastasis prior to pelvic exenteration. Gynecol Oncol 2007;106:177-80.
- 82. Beller U, Quinn MA, Benedet JL, et al. 26th Annual report on the results of treatment in gynecological cancer: carcinoma of the vulva. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2006;95:S7-27.
- 83. Gonzalez Bosquet J, Magrina JF, Gaffey TA, et al. Long-term survival and disease recurrence in patients with primary squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva. Gynecol Oncol 2005; 97:828-33.
- 84. Tantipalakorn C, Robertson G, Marsden DE, et al. Outcome and patterns of recurrence for International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIG(O) stages I and II squamous cell vulvar cancer. Obstet Gynecol 2009:113:895-901.
- 85. Basu S, Li G, Alavi A, PET and PET-CT imaging of gynecological malignancies: present

- role and future promise. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther 2009;9:75-96.
- 86. Hewitt M, Greenfield S, Stovall E, et al. From cancer patient to cancer survivor: lost in transition. Washington, DC: National Academies Press: 2006.
- 87. Del Giudice ME, Grunfeld E, Harvey BJ. Biliotis E, Verma S. Primary care physicians' views of routine follow up care of cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:3338-45.
- 88. Hewitt M, Bamundo A, Day R, Harvey C. Perspectives on post treatment cancer care: qualitative research with survivors, nurses, and physicians. J Clin Oncol 2007;24:2270-3.
- 89. Belizzi KM, Rowland JH, Jeffrey DD, Mc-Neel T. Health behaviors of cancer survivors: examining opportunities for cancer control intervention. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:8884-93.
- 90. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Guidelines for Women's Health Care: a resource manual, 3rd ed. Bethesda, MD: The College: 2007.
- 91. Keating NL, Landrum MB, Guadagnoli E, Winer EP, Ayanian JZ. Surveillance testing among survivors of early stage breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:1074-81.